

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspio.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/716,645	11/19/2003	Jason A. Squires	1940.00001	7661		
26659	7590 06/21/2005		EXAMINER			
	DINNIN, P.C.	ALEXANDER, REGINALD				
	RIDGE COURT, STE. 410 ILLS, MI 48326		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
	,	•	1761			
	•		DATE MAILED: 06/21/2004	DATE MAILED: 06/21/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

					Y" he				
		Applicat	ion No.	Applicant(s)					
		10/716,6	i45	SQUIRES, JASON	A.				
	Office Action Summary	Examine	r	Art Unit					
			L. Alexander	1761					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply									
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).									
Status									
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed	d on .	•						
2a)□	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.								
3)□									
, -	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Disposition of Claims									
5)⊠ 6)⊠ 7)⊠	 ✓ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. ✓ Claim(s) 9-11 is/are allowed. ✓ Claim(s) 1-3,5-8,12,16 and 17 is/are rejected. ✓ Claim(s) 4,13-15 and 18-20 is/are objected to. ✓ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 								
Applicat	tion Papers								
9)	The specification is objected to by the	e Examiner.							
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>19 November 2003</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.									
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.									
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119								
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 									
Attachmen	nt(s)								
1) Notic	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)					
3) 🔲 Infor	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or f er No(s)/Mail Date		Paper No(s)/Mail D. 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:		52)				

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claim 8 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

There is no antecedent basis for the "head" recited at line 2.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-3 and 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Mangum.

There is disclosed in Mangum a tool for draining liquid from canned foods, comprising: an elongate first arm 3; a presser 9 hingedly attached 8 to the first arm, the presser having a body 28 and a detachable foot 9 having a plurality of apertures therein; an elongate second arm 2 hingedly attached 4 to the first arm at an end thereof, the second arm including a substantially straight distal portion 17 adapted to support a can beneath the presser, the second arm further including a substantially straight handle

portion 13 oriented substantially parallel the distal portion and an angled connecting portion between the distal portion and handle portion.

Claims 12, 16 and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Durbin et al.

There is disclosed in Durbin a device for pressing, comprising: a top arm having proximal and distal ends; a presser disposed at a distal end of the top arm; a bottom arm having a flat first portion beneath the presser, and a substantially linear second portion forming part of a squeezing handle in cooperation with the top arm; wherein the top arm is attached to the bottom arm at its proximal end with a hinge.

In regards to the use of the device as a tool for draining liquid from canned food, such is intended use only and provides no structural limitations to the claims. It is also apparent that the device could be used to perform such a use.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 6 and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Mangum in view of McDonald.

McDonald discloses that it is known in the art to use a magnet attached to the foot of a presser.

It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to provide the presser of Mangum with the magnet disclosed in McDonald, in order to remove the lid of the can when removing the presser.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 9-11 are allowed.

Claims 4, 13-15 and 18-20 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Claim 8 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The patents to Jacobson, Tranberg and Watkins, Jr. are cited for their disclosure of the state of the art.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Reginald L. Alexander whose telephone number is 571-272-1395. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Milton Cano can be reached on 571-272-1398. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/716,645 Page 5

Art Unit: 1761

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

rla June 16, 2005 Reginald L. Alexander Primary Examiner Art Unit 1761